Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/058,620	CARTER, KENNETH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor K. Hwang	3764
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>RCE</u>
(1) <u>Victor K. Hwang</u> .	(3)	
(2) Edward A. Sokolski.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 27 October 2004	Time: <u>6:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap	plicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		· ·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 8-12		
Prior art documents discussed: Art of record		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHA	Γ WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	. The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
	·	
		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	icant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Authorization for Examiner's amendment to clarify that the pivot axes of the swivel brackets, as shown, lie in a common plane and that the lever arms are angled 35 degrees relative to a longitudinal axis of the central upper portion and to further clarify the calibrated adjustment lever is essentially the four-bar linkage and gauge for setting the seat portion at a pre-selected position.